

## Session 1620

### The Third Porting: Applying Past Lessons to the Alpha/Itanium Transition

Thursday, September 13, 2001 – 9:30 AM, Room 210B

Friday, September, 14, 2001 – 11:00 AM, Room 210C

Robert Gezelter Software Consultant  
35 – 20 167th Street, Suite 215  
Flushing, New York 11358 – 1731  
United States of America

+1 (718) 463 1079  
gezelter@rlgsc.com  
<http://www.rlgsc.com>

## This session is about –

- Technical review
- Issues in porting
- History and context of EPIC  
(CISC, RISC, EPIC)
- Technical emphasis
- Sizing and migration

## This session is NOT about –

- Marketing
- Non-disclosure material
- Product sales strategies

## In the interest of transparency –

- I have never been an employee of Digital/Compaq/Intel employee
- I do have a small holding in Compaq stock
- I am not presently a consultant to Compaq/Intel
- None of the material is derived from a Non-Disclosure
- The opinions expressed are purely my own.

## Don't know means DON'T KNOW –

- But we can make reasonable analyses based upon published data
  - Published Alpha specifications
  - Published Itanium(tm) specifications
  - OpenVMS documentation set
  - Digital Technical Journal

## Don't know means DON'T KNOW (cont'd) –

- and upon applicable experience
  - PDP-11 to VAX (1978 – present)
  - VAX to Alpha (1992 – present)
  - General experience

## My personal background –

- 25 years of experience on multiple platforms
- Platforms (integersize/addresssize/integer form)
- IBM System/360/370 (32/34/2)
- Digital PDP-11 (16/16/2)
- Digital VAX (32/32/2)
- CDC 6600 (60/18?/1)
- Digital PDP-10 (36/?/2)
- Compaq Alpha (64/64/32)

## My personal background (cont'd) –

- Compiler code generator developer
- uncompleted PhD research
- FPS-164 array processor experience
- Portable software developer

## Architectural Attributes

	PDP-11	VAX	Alpha	Itanium
Architecture Type	1/2 Address	CISC	RISC	EPIC
Address Size	16	32	64	64
Integer Size	16	32	64	64
Byte Order	little	little	little	little
Alignment	word	none	quad	quad

## Architectural Attributes

	PDP-11	VAX	Alpha	Itanium
Architecture Type	1/2 Address	CISC	RISC	EPIC
Address Size	16	32	64	64
Integer Size	16	32	64	64
Byte Order	little	little	little	little
Alignment	word	none	quad	quad

## Porting –

- Cross Platform/OS  
(Solaris C/C++ to OpenVMS Alpha)
- Cross O/S  
(OpenVMS C/C++ to Tru64 C/C++)
- Cross Platform/Sdame OS  
OpenVMS VAX to/from Alpha

## Porting Difficulty –

		Operating System	
		Same	Different
Same Platform	0	10*	
	1	15*	

\* Highly Application Sensitive

## Itanium Issues-

- Atomicity
- Precision
- Address Size
- Granularity
- Alignment
- Byte Ordering

## Atomicity –

- on VAX, INCx was accidentally thread atomic
- on Alpha, translated as load/add/store
- Alpha translation was not safe
- accidental atomicity was not part of the spec
- solution – use ADAWI

## Precision –

- VAX floating point/integer sizes/formats different from ALPHA
- Alpha and Itanium – same precision/formats

## Address Size –

- VAX – 32 bits
- Alpha/Itanium 64 bits
- VAX to Alpha required data structure changes

## Granularity –

- VAX was byte aligned for all operands
- Alpha/Itanium require natural alignment
- VAX was prone to fractured loads/stores

## Data Alignment –

- VAX was byte aligned – all operands
- Alpha/Itanium require natural alignment
- No difference between Alpha/Itanium

## Byte Ordering –

- VAX is little endian (low byte addressed)
- Alpha is little endian
- Itanium operates little/big endian

## History and Context of EPIC –

- Alpha antecedents include IBM System 360/91
- Itanium descended from VLIW, and microcode
- Itanium is more dependent on compilers
- compiler dependency is not new
- EPIC presumes that virtually all code is generated by compilers

## Technical Emphasis –

- from a programming level Itanium and Alpha have similar restrictions
- there are few technical/programming impediments to porting applications between Alpha/Itanium

## Sizing and Migration–

- sizing (speed and/or size) is quite application sensitive
- strategy – get smallest/cheapest system
- so science – DO NOT guess
- optimization may have substantial impact

## Questions?

Robert Gezelter Software Consultant  
35 – 20 167th Street, Suite 215  
Flushing, New York 11358 – 1731  
United States of America

+1 (718) 463 1079  
gezelter@rlgsc.com  
<http://www.rlgsc.com>

Session Notes & Materials:  
<http://www.rlgsc.com/cets/2001/index.html>